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Topics

- Traditional time-domain measurements with omnidirectional transducers
- Advanced impulse response measurement methods
- Directional transducers, the first attempts of spatial analysis
- Orthonormal decomposition of the spatial properties in spherical harmonics: the Ambisonics method
- The reciprocity principle: directive microphones and directive sources
- Generalization of higher-order spherical harmonics representation of both source and receiver directivity
- Joining time and space: from Einstein’s view to a comprehensive data structure representing the acoustical transfer function of a room
- Practical usages of measured (or numerically simulated) temporal-spatial impulse response
Basic sound propagation scheme

- Point Source
- Direct Sound
- Reflected Sound
- Omnidirectional receiver

**Graph:**
- Left Channel
- Direct Sound
- Reverberant tail

Time (seconds): 0.100 to 0.800
Decibels (dB): -60 to 0
Traditional measurement methods

- Pulsive sources: ballons, blank pistol
Modern electroacoustical methods

- The sound is generated by means of an omnidirectional loudspeaker
- The signal is computer-generated
- The same computer is also employed for recording the room’s response by means of one or more omnidirectional microphones
- Also directive microphones can be used: binaural, figure-of-eight
- Different types of test signals have been developed, providing good immunity to background noise and easy deconvolution of the impulse response:
  - MLS (Maximum Length Sequence, pseudo-random white noise)
  - TDS (Time Delay Spectrometry, which basically is simply a linear sine sweep, also known in Japan as “stretched pulse”)
  - ESS (Exponential Sine Sweep)
- Each of these test signals can be employed with different deconvolution techniques, resulting in a number of “different” measurement methods
- Due to theoretical and practical considerations, the preference is nowadays generally oriented for the usage of ESS with not-circular deconvolution
Measurement process

- The desired result is the linear impulse response of the acoustic propagation $h(t)$. It can be recovered by knowing the test signal $x(t)$ and the measured system output $y(t)$.
- It is necessary to exclude the effect of the non-linear part $K$ and of the background noise $n(t)$. 

![Diagram](image)
Hardware: PC and audio interface

Edirol FA-101 Firewire sound card:
- 10 in / 10 out
- 24 bit, 192 kHz
- ASIO and WDM

Hardware: PC and audio interface

Edirol FA-101 Firewire sound card:
- 10 in / 10 out
- 24 bit, 192 kHz
- ASIO and WDM
Hardware: loudspeaker & microphone

- Dodechaedron loudspeaker
- Omnidirectional microphone
## Aurora Plugins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plugin</th>
<th>Icon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generate MLS</td>
<td><img src="icons/ms.png" alt="MLS Icon" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deconvolve MLS</td>
<td><img src="icons/deconv.png" alt="Deconvolve MLS Icon" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generate Sweep</td>
<td><img src="icons/generate.png" alt="Generate Sweep Icon" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deconvolve Sweep</td>
<td><img src="icons/deconv.png" alt="Deconvolve Sweep Icon" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convolution</td>
<td><img src="icons/conv.png" alt="Convolution Icon" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirkeby Inverse Filter</td>
<td><img src="icons/kirkeby.png" alt="Kirkeby Inverse Filter Icon" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech Transm. Index</td>
<td><img src="icons/sti.png" alt="Speech Transm. Index Icon" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MLS method

- $X(t)$ is a periodic binary signal obtained with a suitable shift-register, configured for maximum length of the period.

$$L = 2^N - 1$$
The re-recorded signal $y(i)$ is cross-correlated with the excitation signal thanks to a fast Hadamard transform. The result is the required impulse response $h(i)$, if the system was linear and time-invariant

$$h = \frac{1}{L + 1} \cdot \tilde{M} \cdot y$$

Where $M$ is the Hadamard matrix, obtained by permutation of the original MLS sequence $m(i)$

$$\tilde{M}(i, j) = m[(i + j - 2) \mod L] - 1$$
MLS example

- Room
- Loudspeaker
- Microphone
- Portable PC with additional sound card

Measurement of room Impulse Response

Output signal y

MLS test signal x

Deconvolve Multiple MLS Sequences

- Input Data:
  - MLS Order: 15 B
  - N. of measurements: 1
  - N. of sequences / measurement: 16
  - N. of first sequences to skip: 1

- Output Results:
  - N. of samples for each sequence: 1024
  - N. of samples to skip: 0

- Options:
  - Scale each response separately
  - Remove DC component

User: Andreas Langhoff

[Graphical representation of deconvolution process]
MLS example
Exponential Sine Sweep method

- $x(t)$ is a sine signal, which frequency is varied exponentially with time, starting at $f_1$ and ending at $f_2$.

$$x(t) = \sin \left[ \frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_1 \cdot T}{\ln \left( \frac{f_2}{f_1} \right)} \cdot \left( \frac{t}{T} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{f_2}{f_1} \right) - 1 \right) \right]$$
Test Signal – $x(t)$
The non-linear behaviour of the loudspeaker causes many harmonics to appear.
Inverse Filter – $z(t)$

The deconvolution of the IR is obtained convolving the measured signal $y(t)$ with the inverse filter $z(t)$ [equalized, time-reversed $x(t)$]
Deconvolution of Log Sine Sweep

The “time reversal mirror” technique is employed: the system’s impulse response is obtained by convolving the measured signal \( y(t) \) with the time-reversal of the test signal \( x(-t) \). As the log sine sweep does not have a “white” spectrum, proper equalization is required.

![Test Signal \( x(t) \)](image)

![Inverse Filter \( z(t) \)](image)
Result of the deconvolution

The last impulse response is the linear one, the preceding are the harmonics distortion products of various orders.
After the sequence of impulse responses has been obtained, it is possible to select and insulate just one of them:
**ESS example**

- **Room**
- **Loudspeaker**
- **Microphone**
- **Portable PC with additional sound card**
- **Measurement of room Impulse Response**
- **Output signal y**
- **Sweep test signal x**

**Portable PC with 4-channels sound board**

**Example**

**Measurement of B-format Impulse Responses**

**Portable PC with additional sound card**

**Room**

**Output signal y**

**Sweep test signal x**

**Loudspeaker**

**Portable PC with additional sound card**

**Room**
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ESS example
Maximum Length Sequence vs. Sweep

Aurora - Logarithmic Sine Sweep
Post processing of impulse responses

- A special plugin has been developed for the computation of STI according to IEC-EN 60268-16:2003
Post processing of impulse responses

- A special plugin has been developed for performing analysis of acoustical parameters according to ISO-3382

---

**Acoustical Parameters according to ISO3382-1997**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T100 Hz</td>
<td>64.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>52.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>7.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>5.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>6.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>9.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>11.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>11.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>10.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Acoustical Parameter...**

- User Defined Reverberation Time Extremes:
  - 5 dB, 15 dB
- Enable Noise Correction
- EDT without linear regression
- First Arrival Time Threshold (% of FS): 4
- Peak SPL value corresponding to FS: 120 dB

---

**Stereo Source**

- 2 Unidirectional Microphones
- Soundfield Microphone (AV)
- Binaural Dummy Head

---

**User:** Angelo Farina

---

**Disclaimer:**

- All Rights Reserved
- Confidential
The new AQT plugin for Audition

- The new module is still under development and will allow for very fast computation of the AQT (Dynamic Frequency Response) curve from within Adobe Audition.
Spatial analysis by directive microphones

- The initial approach was to use directive microphones for gathering some information about the spatial properties of the sound field “as perceived by the listener”
- Two apparently different approaches emerged: binaural dummy heads and pressure-velocity microphones:

Binaural microphone (left)

and

variable-directivity microphone (right)
“objective” spatial parameters

- It was attempted to “quantify” the “spatiality” of a room by means of “objective” parameters, based on 2-channels impulse responses measured with directive microphones.
- The most famous “spatial” parameter is IACC (Inter Aural Cross Correlation), based on binaural IR measurements.

\[
\rho(t) = \frac{\int_{0}^{80\text{ms}} p_L(\tau) \cdot p_R(\tau + t) \cdot d\tau}{\sqrt{\int_{0}^{80\text{ms}} p_L^2(\tau) \cdot d\tau \cdot \int_{0}^{80\text{ms}} p_R^2(\tau + t) \cdot d\tau}}
\]

\[
\text{IACC}_E = \max[\rho(t)] \quad t \in [-1\text{ms}..+1\text{ms}]
\]
“objective” spatial parameters

- Other “spatial” parameters are the Lateral Energy ratios: LE, LF, LFC
- These are defined from a 2-channels impulse response, the first channel is a standard omni microphone, the second channel is a “figure-of-eight” microphone:

\[
LE = \frac{\int_{0ms}^{80ms} h_8^2(\tau) \cdot d\tau}{\int_{0ms}^{25ms} h_o^2(\tau) \cdot d\tau} \quad \text{LF} = \frac{\int_{0ms}^{5ms} h_8^2(\tau) \cdot d\tau}{\int_{0ms}^{80ms} h_o^2(\tau) \cdot d\tau} \quad \text{LFC} = \frac{\int_{0ms}^{5ms} h_8(\tau) \cdot h_o(\tau) \cdot d\tau}{\int_{0ms}^{80ms} h_o^2(\tau) \cdot d\tau}
\]
Robustness of spatial parameters

- Both IACC and LF depend strongly on the orientation of the microphones
- Binaural and pressure-velocity measurements were performed in 2 theatres employing a rotating table for turning the microphones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theatre</th>
<th>1-LF</th>
<th>IACC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parma</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>0.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>0.676</td>
<td>0.344</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are binaural measurements reproducible?

- Experiment performed in anechoic room - same loudspeaker, same source and receiver positions, 5 binaural dummy heads
Are binaural measurements reproducible?

- 90° incidence - at low frequency IACC is almost 1, at high frequency the difference between the heads becomes evident.

![Graph showing IACCe at 90° incidence with different head types and frequencies.](image-url)
Are binaural measurements reproducible?

- Diffuse field - the difference between the heads is now dramatic

![Graph showing IACCe - random incidence](image)
Are LF measurements reproducible?

- Experiment performed in the Auditorium of Parma - same loudspeaker, same source and receiver positions, 5 pressure-velocity microphones
Are LF measurements reproducible?

- At 7.5 m distance, the results already exhibit significant scatter.
Are LF measurements reproducible?

- At 25 m distance, the scatter is even larger....
3D extension of the pressure-velocity measurements

- The Soundfield microphone allows for simultaneous measurements of the omnidirectional pressure and of the three cartesian components of particle velocity (figure-of-8 patterns)
3D Impulse Response (Gerzon, 1975)

**Measurement of B-format Impulse Responses**

- **Original Room**
- **Sound Source**
- **SoundField Microphone**

**Portable PC with 4-channels sound board**

- **B-format 4-channels signal (WXYZ)**
- ** MLS or sweep excitation signal**

**Ambisonics decoder**

- **Convolver**
- **Convolution of dry signals with the B-format Impulse Responses**

- **Speaker array in the reproduction room**

**Sound Source**
- **Mono Mic.**
The Waves project (2003)

- The original idea of Michael Gerzon was finally put in practice in 2003, thanks to the Israeli-based company WAVES
- More than 50 theatres all around the world were measured, capturing 3D IRs (4-channels B-format with a Soundfield microphone)
- The measurements did also include binaural impulse responses, and a circular-array of microphone positions
- More details on WWW.ACOUSTICS.NET
Directivity of transducers

LookLine D200 dodecahedron

250 Hz

1000 Hz

2000 Hz

4000 Hz

8000 Hz

16000 Hz
Directivity of transducers

Soundfield ST-250 microphone

125 Hz

2000 Hz
What about source directivity?

- Current 3D IR sampling is still based on the usage of an “omnidirectional” source.
- The knowledge of the 3D IR measured in this way provide no information about the soundfield generated inside the room from a directive source (i.e., a musical instrument, a singer, etc.).
- Dave Malham suggested to represent also the source directivity with a set of spherical harmonics, called O-format - this is perfectly reciprocal to the representation of the microphone directivity with the B-format signals (Soundfield microphone).
- Consequently, a complete and reciprocal spatial transfer function can be defined, employing a 4-channels O-format source and a 4-channels B-format receiver:
1st order MIMO impulse response

- If only spherical harmonics of order 0 and 1 are taken into account, a complete spatial transfer function measurement requires 16 impulse responses:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  y_w \\
y_x \\
y_y \\
y_z
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
h_{ww} & h_{wx} & h_{wy} & h_{wz} \\
h_{xw} & h_{xx} & h_{xy} & h_{xz} \\
h_{yw} & h_{yx} & h_{yy} & h_{yz} \\
h_{zw} & h_{zx} & h_{zy} & h_{zz}
\end{bmatrix}
\otimes
\begin{bmatrix}
x_w \\
x_x \\
x_y \\
x_z
\end{bmatrix}
\]

or \( \{y\} = [h] \otimes \{x\} \)

- Once these 16 IRs have been measured, it is possible to compute the response of the room with a source and a receiver having arbitrary directivity patterns, given by the O-format source functions \( \{r_w, r_x, r_y, r_z\} \), and the B-format receiver functions \( \{r_w, r_x, r_y, r_z\} \):

\[
t_{sr} = \{r\} \otimes \{y\} = \{r\} \otimes [h] \otimes \{s\}
\]

- In which also each of \( \{s\} \) and \( \{r\} \) are sets of 4 impulse responses, representing the frequency-dependent directivities of the source and of the receiver.
Limits of the 1st-order method

- Albeit mathematically elegant and easy to implement with currently-existing hardware, the 1st-order method presented here cannot represent faithfully the complex directivity pattern of an human voice or of an human ear:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
W & X & Y \\
1.421 & 0.289 & 0.000 \\
\end{array}
\]
Limits of the 1st-order method

- The polar pattern of a binaural dummy head is even more complex, as shown here (1 kHz, right ear):

\[
\begin{align*}
W & = 0.395 \\
X & = -0.015 \\
Y & = 0.191
\end{align*}
\]
How to get better spatial resolution?

- The answer is simple: analyze the spatial distribution of both source and receiver by means of higher-order spherical harmonics expansion
- Spherical harmonics analysis is the equivalent, in space domain, of the Fourier analysis in time domain
- As a complex time-domain waveform can be thought as the sum of a number of sinusoidal and cosinusoidal functions, so a complex spatial distribution around a given notional point can be expressed as the sum of a number of spherical harmonic functions
Higher-order spherical harmonics expansion
Arnoud Laborie developed a 24-capsule compact microphone array - by means of advanced digital filtering, spherical aharmonic signals up to 3° order are obtained (16 channels)
Jerome Daniel and Sebastien Moreau built samples of 32-capsules spherical arrays - these allow for extractions of microphone signals up to 4° order (25 channels)
Multichannel software for high-order

- Plogue Bidule can be used as multichannel host software, running a number of VST plugins developed by France Telecom - these include spherical harmonics extraction from the spherical microphone arrays, rotation and manipulation of the multichannel B-format signals, and final rendering either on head-tracked headphones or on a static array of loudspeakers (high-order Ambisonics).
Verification of high-order patterns

- Sebastien Moreau and Olivier Warusfel verified the directivity patterns of the 4°-order microphone array in the anechoic room of IRCAM (Paris)
Frequency extension of the patterns
High-order sound source

- University of California Berkeley's Center for New Music and Audio Technologies (CNMAT) developed a new 120-loudspeakers, digitally controlled sound source, capable of synthesizing sound emission according to spherical harmonics patterns up to 5° order.
Technical details of high-order source

- Class-D embedded amplifiers
- Embedded ethernet interface and DSP processing
- Long-excursion special Meyer Sound drivers
Accuracy of spatial synthesis

- The spatial reconstruction error of a 120-loudspeakers array is frequency dependant, as shown here:

- The error is acceptably low over an extended frequency range up to 5º-order
Advanced digital filtering techniques

A set of digital filters can be employed for synthesizing the required spatial pattern (spherical harmonics), either when dealing with a microphone array or when dealing with a loudspeaker array.

Whatever theory or method is chosen, we always start with $N$ input signals $x_i$, and we derive from them $M$ output signals $y_j$.

And, in any case, each of these $M$ outputs can be expressed by:

$$y_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{ij} \otimes x_i$$
Example with a microphone array

- The sound field is sampled in N points by means of a microphone array.

\[ y_j(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{ij} \otimes x_i \]

\( y_j(t) \) is the time-domain sampled waveform of a wave with well defined spatial characteristics, for example:

- a spherical wave centered in a precise emission point \( P_{source} \)
- a plane wave with a certain direction
- a spherical harmonic referred to a receiver point \( P_{rec} \)
Traditional design of digital filters

- The processing filters $h_{ij}$ are usually computed following one of several, complex mathematical theories, based on the solution of the wave equation (often under certain simplifications), and assuming that the microphones are ideal and identical.

- In some implementations, the signal of each microphone is processed through a digital filter for compensating its deviation, at the expense of heavier computational load.
Novel approach

- No theory is assumed: the set of $h_{ij}$ filters are derived directly from a set of impulse response measurements, designed according to a least-squares principle.

- In practice, a matrix of filtering coefficients, is formed, and the matrix has to be numerically inverted (usually employing some regularization technique).

- This way, the outputs of the microphone array are maximally close to the ideal responses prescribed.

- This method also inherently corrects for transducer deviations and acoustical artifacts (shielding, diffractions, reflections, etc.)
The microphone array impulse responses $c_{k,i}$ are measured for a number of $P$ incoming directions.

$k=1\ldots P$ sources

$i=1\ldots N$ mikes

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix}
  c_{1,1} & c_{2,1} & c_{k,1} & c_{P,1} \\
  c_{1,2} & c_{2,2} & c_{k,2} & c_{P,2} \\
  c_{1,i} & c_{2,i} & c_{k,i} & c_{P,i} \\
  c_{1,N} & c_{2,N} & c_{k,N} & c_{P,N}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

…we get the **filters** to be applied to the microphonic signals from processing the matrix of measured impulse responses
Example: synthesizing 0-order shape

We design the filters in such a way that the response of the system is the prescribed theoretical function $v_k$ for the k-th source (an unit-amplitude Dirac’s Delta function in the case of the example, as the 0th-order function is omnidirectional).

So we set up a linear equation system of $P$ equations, imposing that:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{i,0} \otimes c_{1,i} = \delta
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{i,0} \otimes c_{2,i} = \delta
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{i,0} \otimes c_{k,i} = \delta
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{i,0} \otimes c_{p,i} = \delta
\]

Lets call $v_k$ the right-hand vector of known results (they will be different for higher-order shapes).

Once this matrix of $N$ inverse filters are computed (for example, employing the Nelson/Kirkeby method), the output of the microphone array, synthesizing the prescribed 0th-order shape, will again be simply:

\[
y_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \otimes h_{i,0}
\]
System’s least-squares inversion

- For computing the matrix of N filtering coefficients $h_{i0}$, a least-squares method is employed.
- A “total squared error” $\varepsilon_{\text{tot}}$ is defined as:

$$
\varepsilon_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{k=1}^{P} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( h_{i0} \otimes c_{ki} \right) - v_k \right]^2
$$

- A set of N linear equations is formed by minimising $\varepsilon_{\text{tot}}$, imposing that:

$$
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\text{tot}}}{\partial h_{i0}} = 0 \quad (i = 1 \ldots N)
$$
Kirkeby’s regularization

- During the computation of the inverse filter, usually operated in the frequency domain, one usually finds expressions requiring to compute a ratio between complex spectra:

\[ H = \frac{A}{D} \]

- Computing the reciprocal of the denominator \( D \) is generally not trivial, as the inverse of a complex, mixed-phase signal is generally unstable.

- The Nelson/Kirkeby regularization method is usually employed for this task:

\[
\text{InvD}(\omega) = \frac{\text{Conj}[D(\omega)]}{\text{Conj}[D(\omega)] \cdot D(\omega) + \varepsilon(\omega)}
\]

\[ H = A \cdot \text{InvD} \]
Spectral shape of the regularization parameter $\varepsilon(\omega)$

- At very low and very high frequencies it is advisable to increase the value of $\varepsilon$. 

![Diagram showing the spectral shape of the regularization parameter $\varepsilon(\omega)$]
Example for a 4-channel mike

- **DPA-4 A-format microphone**
- **4 closely-spaced cardioids**
- **A set of 4x4 filters is required for getting B-format signals**
- **Global approach for minimizing errors over the whole sphere**
IR measurements on the DPA-4

84 IRs were measured, uniformly scattered around a sphere
Computation of the inverse filters

- A set of 16 inverse filters is required
  (4 inputs, 4 outputs = 1°-order B-format)
- For any of the 84 measured directions, a theoretical response can be computed for each of the 4 output channels (W,X,Y,Z)
- So 84x4=336 conditions can be set:

\[
\begin{align*}
    c_1 \otimes h_{1,w} + c_2 \otimes h_{2,w} + c_3 \otimes h_{3,w} + c_4 \otimes h_{4,w} &= \text{out}_{k,w} \\
    c_1 \otimes h_{1,x} + c_2 \otimes h_{2,x} + c_3 \otimes h_{3,x} + c_4 \otimes h_{4,x} &= \text{out}_{k,x} \\
    c_1 \otimes h_{1,y} + c_2 \otimes h_{2,y} + c_3 \otimes h_{3,y} + c_4 \otimes h_{4,y} &= \text{out}_{k,y} \\
    c_1 \otimes h_{1,z} + c_2 \otimes h_{2,z} + c_3 \otimes h_{3,z} + c_4 \otimes h_{4,z} &= \text{out}_{k,w}
\end{align*}
\]

\[k = 1...84\]
Real-time implementation

4 inputs

4 outputs
Complete high-order MIMO method

- Employing massive arrays of transducers, it is nowaday feasible to sample the acoustical temporal-spatial transfer function of a room
- Currently available hardware and software tools make this practical only up to 4° order, which means 25 inputs and 25 outputs
- A complete measurement for a given source-receiver position pair takes approximately 10 minutes (25 sine sweeps of 15s each are generated one after the other, while all the microphone signals are sampled simultaneously)
- However, it has been seen that real-world sources can be already approximated quite well with 2°-order functions, and even the human HRTF directivities are reasonably approximated with 3°-order functions.

Diagram:
- 2°-order 9-loudspeakers source (dodechaedron)
- 3°-order 24-capsules microphone array
- Portable PC with 24in-24out channels external sound card
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Conclusions

- The sine sweep method revealed to be systematically superior to the MLS method for measuring electroacoustical impulse responses.
- In fact, it is now employed in top-grade measurement systems, including Audio Precision (TM) or Brueλ & Kjaer’s DIRAC software.
- Traditional methods for measuring “spatial parameters” proved to be unreliable and do not provide complete information.
- The 1°-order Ambisonics method can be used for generating and recording sound with a limited amount of spatial information.
- For obtained better spatial resolution, High-Order Ambisonics can be used, limiting the spherical-harmonics expansion to a reasonable order (2°, 3° or 4°).
- Experimental hardware and software tools have been developed (mainly in France, but also in USA), allowing to build an inexpensive complete measurement system.
- From the complete matrix of measured impulse responses it is easy to derive any suitable subset, including an highly accurate binaural rendering over head-tracked headphones.